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## Student Achievement Data

14a. Successful student course completion rate for the fall 2012 semester: $\mathbf{7 1 . 6 \%}$
Data provided by Institutional Research 3/18/13
14b. Institution-set standard for student course completion rate: 71\%
Fall 2011 69.3\%
Fall 2010 67.3\%
Fall 2009 66.1\%
Fall 2008 64.6\%
Based on the average percentage of the differences between years: $1.56 \%(69.3+1.56=70.86)$
Data provided in Cuyamaca College Key Performance Indicators Fall 2008- Spring 2012
Question 14b justification (Course Completion):
The Student Success Taskforce Subgroup suggested: The recommendation of an institutionalset standard of $83 \%$ is based on the average course completion rate for our students over the last eight semesters. [Note $83 \%$ is from the KPI for Overall Course Completion Rates, we should have looked at the Overall Course Success Rates, which is reflected in our institutionset standard.]

The Deans suggested we look at data from our growth years (04-08), however, that data is not available at this time. With the recent hiring of a new IR dean, this data will be made available. The trends of the growth years (2004-08) and years of contraction (2009-13) will allow us to make a better informed institutional standard, with the possibility of preparing two sets of standards and goal that reflect these trends.

15a. Percent of students retained from fall 2011 to fall 2012 semesters: $\mathbf{4 7 . 3 \%}$
Data provided by Institutional Research 3/18/13
15b. Institution-set standard for student retention percentage: $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$
Fall 2010-2011 39.3\%
Fall 2009-2010 39.8\%
Fall 2008-2009 38\%
Based on the average percentage of the differences between years: $8.75 \%(39.3+.875=40.175)$
Question 15b justification (Persistence Rates/Retention):
The Student Success Taskforce Subgroup suggested: The recommendation of an institutionalset standard of $70 \%$ is based on the traditional standard of satisfactory. We acknowledge that our rate is less than $40 \%$; however given the recent reduction in course offers, due to the State's fiscal crisis, this low rate may not be an accurate reflection of our students' ability to persist.

Our recommended plan is to improve by at least $2 \%$ every year to meet our $70 \%$ standard. The following are ways in which we are currently addressing this issue:

1. Improving matriculation services, by offering on-line orientations, assessments, and advisement. Providing these services on-line allows students 24 -hour access to these resources.
2. Institutionalizing the First Year Experience (FYE) program
3. Applying for a Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI) grant, with a focus on FYE student, supplemental instruction and other wrap-around services
4. Designating Basic Skills funding for college-wide tutoring services
5. Hiring counselors with expertise in high-risk and underrepresented populations (e.g. veterans, FYE)

The Deans suggested: The recommendation of an overall institutional-set standard of $40 \%$ is based on the varied educational goals of our students and an institutional goal of $50 \%$ based on the fluctuate in enrollment and course offerings due to state allocations. Also consideration should be made for students only wanted one or two courses for CTE/work related advancement or professional development. However, there should be an institutional-set standard for our first year students, which could be $70 \%$.

16a. Number of students who received a degree in the 2011-12 academic year: 474 Data provided by Institutional Research 3/18/13

16b. Institution-set standard for student degree completion number: 475 \# of Students
2011-2012 482
2010-2011 440
2009-2010 377
2008-2009 353
Based on the average percentage of the differences between years: $8 \%(.08 * 440=35.2)(440+$ $35.2=475.2$ )

17a. Number of students who transferred to 4-year colleges/universities in 2011-2012: 1,363 Data provided by Institutional Research 3/18/13

17b. Institution-set standard for student transfer to 4-year colleges/universities: $\mathbf{8 2 2}$
Fall $2012 \quad$ [7,992 total enrollments 17.0\%]
Fall $2011 \quad 754$ [8,137 total enrollments 9.26\%]
Fall $2010 \quad 841 \quad$ [10,684 total enrollments 7.87\%]
Fall $2009 \quad 930 \quad$ [13,096 total enrollments 7.10\%]
Fall $2008 \quad 676 \quad$ [12,614 total enrollments 5.36\%]
Based on transfers to 4-year colleges data from IR (National Clearinghouse)
Standard based the average percentage of the differences between years: $9 \%(.09 * 754=68)$
$(754+68=822)$

There were discussions regarding what the percentage should be based on, with regard to setting a standard. The discussion included how we should define transfer. The following are two sets of data utilizing the different ideas regarding a definition.
Information regarding students' preparation for transfer:
2011-2012 396
2010-2011 343
2009-2010 351
2008-2009 323

Based on courses stated in catalog to "prepare" for a 4-yr degrees:
2011-2012 527
2010-2011 387
2009-2010 250
2008-2009 87
Based on "Transfer Ready" which includes:

1. GPA of over 2.0
2. Completed at least 60 degree applicable units
3. Took a course in 2011 Summer, 2011 Fall or 2012 Spring
4. Indicated a desire to transfer on educational goal (This number may be inflated due to financial aid requirements nor is it listed in priority)
5. Did not take a course in the following semester
6. Took a transferable math course, COMM-120 or COMM-124 or ENGL-120 Or ENGL-124

18a. Number of students who completed certificate requirements and received a certificate in the 2011-12 academic year: $\mathbf{2 4 2}$ (We are only counting Certificates of Achievement)
Data provided by Admissions \& Records 3/13/12
18b. Institution-set standard for student certificate completion number: 224
2011-2012 242
2010-2011 198
2009-2010 158
2008-2009 155
Based on the average percentage of the differences between years: $13 \%(.13 * 198=25.74)(198+$ $26=224$ )

