



### **ACCREDITATION SELF-STUDY REPORT**

### Michael Wangler, Faculty Accreditation Co-Chair Cristina Chiriboga, Administrative Accreditation Co-Chair

## ACCREDITATION SELF-STUDY CUYAMACA COLLEGE

- Process and Structure
- Status
- Student Learning Outcomes
- Dissemination and Approval Process

PROCESS AND STRUCTURE

## **SELF-STUDY TIMELINE**

	Event	Date
<u>2005</u>		
	Identify Accreditation Faculty Chair Organize Teams Drafts Initiated for description section for Standards and information gathering	Spring 2005 Spring 2005 Fall 2005
<u>2006</u>	Data Collection Initiated (Coordination with Institutional Research)	Spring 2006
	Teams develop first drafts (includes description and analysis)	Spring 2006
	Team circulation of drafts (on-going) Finalize Planning/Agenda	Fall 2006 Fall 2006

## SELF-STUDY TIMELINE (cont'd)

### <u>2007</u>

#### Campus Forum II

Finalize Draft of Self-Study report (Team Revisions-incorporate inputs) Campus Constituent Review/Endorsements Innovation & Planning Council Approval District Review/Approval First read to Governing Board Second read to Governing Board/Approval Final Production Self-Study (College) **Self-Study submitted to ACCJC** ACCJC Visiting Team to District, Grossmont and Cuyamaca January 2007

February 2007

March 2007 April 2007 May 2007 June 2007 July 2007 August 2007 *August 2007* October 2007 Accreditation Steering Committee Faculty Co-Chair, Michael Wangler Administrative Co-Chair, Cristina Chiriboga

Standard I Co-Chairs: Gerri Perri and Kathryn Nette Standard II Co-Chairs: Cristina Chiriboga, Angela Nesta and Joe Marron Standard III Co-Chairs: Arleen Satele and Donna Riley Standard IV Co-Chairs: Gene Morones and Jan Ford Constituency Group Representation Classified Senate: Maggie Gonzales District: Keren Brooks Community: Allen Brown, Foundation Board Member ASCC: Aaron Keller Editor: Teresa McNeil Recorder: Debi Miller ACCREDITATION SELF-STUDY TEAM COMPOSITION

### Standard I: Institutional Mission and Effectiveness Co-Chairs: Gerri Perri and Kathryn Nette

Patricia Santana Connie Elder Henri Migala Donna Troy Rocky Rose Allen Brown Marvelyn Bucky Shari Ball Rosalyn Johnson

#### **Standard II: Student Learning Programs & Services Co-Chairs: Cristina Chiriboga, Angela Nesta and Joe Marron**

#### **A. Instructional Programs**

	Chair: Al Taccone Nancy Jennings Joan Burak	Jackie Hider Alan Ridley	Susan Haber
В.	Student Support Services		
	Chair: Teresa McNeil		
	Mary Asher Fitzpatrick	Mary Graham	Inwon Leu
	Teresa McNeil	Joe Marron	Marsha Fralick
	Aaron Keller		
С.	Library and Learning Resources		
	Chair: Angela Nesta		
	Kari Wergerland	Fred Geoola	Poppy Bush
	Bill Stanford		

### Standard III: Resources Co-Chairs: Arleen Satele and Donna Riley Editor: Tim Pagaard

#### A. Human Resources

Arleen Satele					
Alicia Munoz	Lyn Neylon	Ernest Williams			
Barbara Takahashi	Beth Appenzeller	Maria Mendoza			
B. Physical Resources					
Arleen Satele					
Brad Monroe	Tim Pagaard	Laurie Brown			
Patty Stephenson	Vivian Bogue				
C. Technology Resources					
Madelaine Wolfe					
Ted Chandler	Larry Sherwood	Steve Weinert			
Carol Lloyd	Steve To				
D. Financial Resources					
Donna Riley					
Tammi Marshall	Bill Stanford	Lynn Neylon			
Sara Grasmick	Ray Reyes				
	Arleen Satele Alicia Munoz Barbara Takahashi I Resources Arleen Satele Brad Monroe Patty Stephenson Ogy Resources Madelaine Wolfe Ted Chandler Carol Lloyd I Resources Donna Riley Tammi Marshall Sara Grasmick	Arleen SateleAlicia MunozLyn NeylonBarbara TakahashiBeth AppenzellerBresourcesImage: SteleArleen SateleTim PagaardBrad MonroeTim PagaardPatty StephensonVivian BogueOgy ResourcesImage: SteleMadelaine WolfeLarry SherwoodTed ChandlerLarry SherwoodCarol LloydSteve ToI ResourcesImage: SteleDonna RileyImage: SteleTammi MarshallBill StanfordSara GrasmickRay Reyes			

### Standard IV: Leadership and Governance Co-Chairs: Gene Morones and Jan Ford

Pat Setzer Maggie Gonzales Marie Ramos

Rosalyn Johnson Deanna Weeks

## **STATUS REPORT**

## COMPLETED

### **Drafts**

- Eligibility Description
- Demographic Profile
- Descriptions for all Standards
- Evaluations for all Standards

## COMMENTS

### **BY STANDARDS**

## **IN PROGRESS**

### Theme Essays

- Dialogue
- Student Learning Outcomes
- Institutional Commitments
- Evaluation, Planning and Improvement
- Organization
- Institutional Integrity
- Planning Agendas
- College Review and Approval Spring 2007

## STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

### **Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs)**

### • Milestones

### Institutional Process

- All Course/Curriculum SLOs approved through Curriculum, General Education and Academic Policies and Procedures Committee
- All Program SLOs reviewed by Program Review
- Service Area (Library and Student Services) in the process of developing SLOs
- Curriculum Before & After Outlines
  - Geography and Exercise Science
- Program Review
  - CIS
- Service Area
  - Library SLOs

## MILESTONES

Spring & Fall, 2003	College faculty/administrative team participates in statewide RP Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) Workshops (MiraCosta & Miramar Colleges).	May, 2004	Academic Senate adopts proposed SLO implementation package from Curriculum and Program Review Committees.
December, 2003	Academic Senate adopts <i>Resolution</i> <i>Regarding the Integration of Measurable</i> <i>Student Learning Outcomes into</i>	Fall, 2004	Curriculum Committee begins reviewing new and existing course outlines for SLO's.
	Curriculum.	November, 2004	College faculty/administrative team presents Cuyamaca's SLO implementation model at CCLC Conference.
January, 2004	Academic Senate presents the Cuyamaca College SLO resolution and proposed implementation model at the Joint		
	Academic Senate Meeting.	March, 2005	College faculty/administrative team presents Cuyamaca's SLO implementation model at Joint CIO- CSSO Conference.
March, 2004	Academic Senate adopts <i>Resolution</i> <i>Regarding the Integration of Measurable</i> <i>Student Learning Outcomes into Student</i> <i>Services</i> .		
		April, 2005	Academic Senate approves 24 new and existing course outlines for SLO's and forwards to Governing Board for adoption.
March, 2004	Director of Institutional research presents Student Learning Outcomes workshop to Curriculum and Program		
	Review Committees.	April 2006	Academic Senate approves 19 new course outlines and 20% of existing course outlines for SLOs and forwards to Governing Board for adoption.
Spring, 2004	Curriculum and Program Review Committees (including the College Accreditation Liaison Officer) research, design and draft processes for		
	integrating SLO's into the Curriculum.		

CURRICULUM BEFORE & AFTER OUTLINES Exercise Science

### ES 060 (Before SLOs) (Page 35 in SLO Handbook)

#### **Course Objectives**

#### Students will be able to:

- 1) Learn the basic skills and rules of the game
- 2) Develop an activity which can be continued as a hobby and provides relaxation during leisure time
- 3) Exhibit the ethical codes of behavior traditional in the game of badminton
- 4) Engage in physical activity of a vigorous nature

#### Method of Evaluation

A grading system will be established by the instructor and implemented uniformly. Grades will be based on demonstrated proficiency in subject matter determined by multiple measurements for evaluation, one of which must be written exams, skills demonstration or, where appropriate, the symbol system.

- 1) Observation of performance skills: long serve, short serve, clear, drop, dink, smash, and drive.
- 2) Objective skill testing demonstrating above techniques.
- 3) Exams (written)







Course Objectives (Expected Student Learning Outcomes)

#### Students will be able to:

- 1) Describe the rules of play, codes of behavior, and scoring for badminton, and explain how they apply to singles and doubles games
- 2) Identify the basic strategies of court coverage for singles and doubles play, and utilize these strategies to improve court play
- 3) Display individual performance skills for singles and doubles play (including basic footwork and strokes for serve & play), and demonstrate proficiency and improvement of these skills throughout the semester
- 4) Use the above knowledge and performance based skills to competitively engage in class competitions & tournaments
- 5) Assess the relationship between physical fitness and good health, and apply the skills gained in class to promote good health and fitness throughout one's life

#### Method of Evaluation (Measuring Student Learning Outcomes with Representative Assignments)

A grading system will be established by the instructor and implemented uniformly. Grades will be based on demonstrated proficiency in subject matter determined by multiple measurements for evaluation, one of which must be written exams, skills demonstration or, where appropriate, the symbol system.

- 1) Quizzes and exams that measure the student's ability to identify, explain, and provide examples of the rules, ethics, and strategies of play for badminton and how they apply to both singles and doubles games (CO 1, 2)
- 2) Objective skills testing that measure the student's proficiency and improvement in the following: strategic court coverage, basic footwork, and strokes for serve & play, including long serve, short serve, clear, drop, dink, smash, and drive (CO 2, 3)
- 3) Objective assessment of student participation and performance in class competitions and tournaments (singles and doubles) based on the following criteria: appropriate use of rules and scoring, sportsmanship and teamwork, and skills development and improvement (CO 3, 4)
- 4) In class activities and/or homework assignments that measure the student's ability to evaluate the relationship between physical fitness and good health throughout one's life (CO 5)

### **PROGRAM REVIEW**

CIS

## **CIS PROGRAM REVIEW**

#### **Networking Program Outcomes**

### a. What are the learning outcomes for each discipline in your department? (See handout for examples.)

Students who complete this program should be able to:

- 1) Demonstrate the ability to install, configure, upgrade, diagnose and troubleshoot personal computer hardware. Describe the functionality of personal computer motherboards, processors, memory, storage, printers, and mobile systems.
- 2) Develop hands-on skills relating to installation and testing of structured cabling and use of cable test equipment. Describe Industry Cabling Design Standards.
- 3) Design, plan, build and implement a database. Understand how to maintain and modify databases in order to adapt to changing information requirements.
- 4) Use command line and graphical user interface tools to install, configure, manage users, implement security, troubleshoot and restore a networked Windows or Linux operating system.
- 5) Identify and describe the functions of each of the seven layers of the OSI reference model.
- 6) Define and describe the function of a MAC address. Define and describe the different classes of IP addresses, subnetting, CIDR and VLSM.
- 7) Perform tasks in the planning, design, installation, operation, and troubleshooting of Ethernet and TCP/IP networks, including networking mathematics and terminology.

### CIS PROGRAM REVIEW (cont'd)

- 8) Demonstrate proper care, maintenance and use of networking software, tools and equipment, and all local, state and federal safety, building and environmental codes and regulations.
- 9) Install and configure switches and routers in a multiprotocol internetwork using LAN and WAN interfaces.
- 10) Examine Routing and switching theory, router and switch components, and routed and routing protocols.
- 11) Describe Wide Area Network (WAN) protocols, standards and technologies including ISDN, Frame Relay and SMNP.
- 12) Demonstrate knowledge and skills involving network security systems by securing a computer network from internal and external threats.
- 13) Apply communication and people skills to work effectively as part of a team.
- 14) Learn basic documentation skills and demonstrate effective written communication.
- 15) Resolve technical problems by researching and applying logic to troubleshoot common networking problems.

#### b. How do students demonstrate achievement of these learning outcomes?

Skills are demonstrated through projects and work performed in labs and these skills correlate with those specified in the syllabi and in course outlines. For example, students in the Network Cabling class actually build cables and successfully cable a local area network. Students in the web classes actually develop web sites that utilize sound design and technical skills. Outlines are based on measurable student learning outcomes and provided with the necessary texts and technology will produce grades that reliably measure achievement of the outcomes.

#### c. How are learning outcomes made public?

Learning outcomes are documented on the CIS website.

## **SERVICE AREA**

Library



#### Outcome No. 1:

Locate information using information management skills to utilize a variety of library resources effectively, including books, published articles, multi-media, and web sites.

#### Outcome No. 2:

Evaluate information using critical thinking skills and problem solving to evaluate resources in order to determine reliability, validity, authority, and point of view

In order to practice these skills the library created several student-paced online tutorials, such as *"How to Do a Research Paper"* and *"Creating an MLA Works Cited Page"* that can be accessed from the library's home page.

## STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

## **NEXT STEPS**

- Continue Developing and Implementing SLOs in all areas
- Conduct Assessment Activities

# DISSEMINATION AND APPROVAL PROCESS

### Dissemination and Approval Process for Accreditation Self Study

- Post final drafts on website
- Submit self-study through College shared governance constituency groups:
  - Academic Senate
  - Classified Senate
  - Associated Students
  - Innovation & Planning Council
- Submit to Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District Governing Board for review and approval
- Submit to Western Association of Schools and Colleges
- Site Visit October 2007